

# ● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

*2nd Request*

Application : <u>09/632910</u>	Examiner : <u>Chambliss</u>	GAU : <u>2814</u>
From : <u>PAP</u>	Location : <u>(IDC) FMF FDC</u>	Date : <u>3/15/06</u>

Tracking #: EPM 09/632910 Week Date: 7/11/05

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLM	<u>11/24/04</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IIFW	<u>7/5/05</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Other
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

[RUSH] MESSAGE: Renumbered claims 2, 3, 4 (original claims 18, 19, 25) depend on two claims - renumbered claims 1 and 5 (original claims 16, 17) - the second dependency is higher than themselves. Please advise.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

Thank you.

[XRUSH] RESPONSE: Claims 18, 19, and 25 depend on either claim 16 or claim 17. The examiner choose to have have claims 18, 19, and 25 to depend from claim 16. Therefore, there are no dependency problems.

\_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_

INITIALS: AC

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.  
REV 10/04